

BETH FORD,  
Plaintiff,  
v.

## ORDER

## BACKGROUND


## DISCUSSION

Case 5:15-cv-00507-BO Document 5 Filed 01/11/16 Page 1 of 2

only required to review an M&R *de novo* if the plaintiff specifically objects to it or in cases of plain error. *Id.*; *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149–50 (1985). In this instance, plaintiff has not objected and the magistrate has not committed plain error.

Therefore, the Court GRANTS plaintiff's redacted motion to file *in forma pauperis* [DE 4], ADOPTS the Magistrate Judge's recommendations [DE 3], and DISMISSES plaintiff's complaint in its entirety [DE 1-1].

SO ORDERED, this 7 day of January, 2016.

  
TERRENCE W. BOYLE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE